arXiv:hep-th/0009040v1 5 Sep 2000

Equivalent Relations between Quantum Dynamics as Derived from a Gauge Transformation

Chuan-Tsung Chan*, Chun-Khiang Chua†

Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, 10764

(February 7, 2008)

Abstract

Equivalent relations between quantum mechanical systems in the Robertson-Walker (RW) background metric and quantum dynamics with an induced quadratic background potential are derived in this work. Two elementary applications, which include an algebraic derivation of the evolution operator for a simple harmonic oscillator without using any special function or the path integral technique, and a moving soliton solution of a free particle in an oscillating universe, are presented to illustrate the use of these equivalent relations.

Typeset using REVTEX

---

*email address: ctchan@phys.ntu.edu.tw

†email address: ckchua@phys.ntu.edu.tw

I. MOTIVATION AND INTRODUCTION

Recent advance of duality in string theory has not only greatly improved our understanding of string theory as an unified framework of quantum gravity, but also drastically changed our view of quantum field theory. For instance, the Maldacena conjecture gives an unforeseen correspondence between super-gravity and super-Yang-Mills theory which allows us to study the strongly coupled dynamics of gauge theories via black hole physics [1]. Another example regarding strong-weak duality which exchanges fundamental with solitonic degrees of freedom in apparently different models and establishes their equivalence [2].

On the other hand, it has also become clear that, as a technical tool, the use of duality facilitates investigations of nonperturbative or strong coupling aspects in quantum dynamics, which are certainly beyond the domain of perturbative calculations. While there exists vast literature providing substantial evidences for supporting various duality relations as exact quantum symmetries, it remains to be a great theoretical challenge to find mathematical derivations of these conjectured connections.

In this work, we shall focus on a special duality transformation which generates equivalent relations between quantum systems with different backgrounds. Due to relatively simple structures of these systems, it is possible to derive these equivalent relations from a series of change of variables. The precise correspondence between two classes of quantum systems can be formulated as transformations between wave functions and evolution operators, and we can explore physical consequences based on such an equivalent relation.

This paper is organized as follows. We first derive the equivalent relations between quantum systems in the Robertson-Walker metric (denoted as Class A) and quantum systems with an induced quadratic background potential (denoted as Class B) in Sec.II. The use of these equivalent relations is illustrated by two elementary applications in Sec.III and Sec.IV. In particular, we find an algebraic derivation of evolution operator for a non-relativistic simple harmonic oscillator and a moving solitonic wave of a free particle in the space with oscillating metric. After making comparison with other approaches and commenting on special features of this equivalent relation in Sec.V, we summarize and conclude this paper in Sec.VI.

II. EQUIVALENT RELATIONS BETWEEN QUANTUM DYNAMICS WITH DIFFERENT BACKGROUNDS

The Schrödinger equation in the Robertson-Walker (RW) metric [3],

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = dt^2 - a^2(t)\left[\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2\sin^2\theta d\phi^2\right], \tag{1}$$

is given by [4]

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{x},t) &= \left[-\frac{1}{2m\sqrt{g}}\sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\left(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right) + \mathcal{V}(\vec{x},t;g_{\mu\nu})\right]\psi(\vec{x},t) \\ &= \left[-\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2a^2(t)m} + V(a(t)\vec{x},t)\right]\psi(\vec{x},t), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where ∇_x^2 is the Laplacian in the space of constant curvature k, and $a(t)$ is the scale factor describing the change of metric under the dynamics of general relativity. In the present study, we shall confine ourselves to the case of flat space, i.e., $k = 0$.

To solve this time-dependent Schrödinger equation, we observe that the scale factor $a(t)$ appearing in the Hamiltonian through a rescaling of the spatial coordinates $\vec{x}$, and it is tempting to redefine new coordinates and the wave function,

$$\vec{r} \equiv a(t)\vec{x}, \qquad f(\vec{r},t) \equiv \psi\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{a(t)},t\right), \tag{3}$$

to absorb such a time-dependent scale factor. Nevertheless, one needs to be careful in transforming the time derivative on the left hand side of the Schrödinger equation, Eq.(2), for now we have to fix new spatial variable $\vec{r}$ while taking the partial derivative with respect to time variable,

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\vec{x},t)\,|_{\vec{x}} = i\left[\frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}\right]\ \vec{r}\cdot\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{r}}|_t\right) + i\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\,|_{\vec{r}}\,. \tag{4}$$

In terms of these new variables, the Schrödinger equation, Eq.(2), becomes

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(\vec{r},t) = \left[-\frac{{\nabla_r}^2}{2m} - ih(t)\left(\vec{r}\cdot\nabla_r\right) + V(\vec{r},t)\right] f(\vec{r},t), \tag{5}$$

where we have introduced the Hubble parameter [3],

$$h(t) \equiv \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}. \tag{6}$$

The non-Hermitian term, $-ih(t)\left(\vec{r}\cdot\nabla_r\right)$, in the Hamiltonian, Eq.(5), originates from the time-dependent normalization of the new wave function,

$$\int d^3x \ |\psi(\vec{x},t)|^2 = 1 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \int d^3r \ |f(\vec{r},t)|^2 = |a(t)|^3. \tag{7}$$

In view of this, one can recover a Hermitian Hamiltonian by redefining a properly normalized wave function,

$$g(\vec{r},t) \equiv a^{-3/2}(t) \ f(\vec{r},t) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \int d^3r \ |g(\vec{r},t)|^2 = 1, \tag{8}$$

and the Schrödinger equation becomes

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(\vec{r},t) = \left[-\frac{\nabla_r^2}{2m} - \frac{i}{2}h(t)\left\{\vec{r},\nabla_r\right\} + V(\vec{r},t)\right] g(\vec{r},t). \tag{9}$$

In this representation, the induced potential, which is in proportional to $\{\vec{r},\nabla_r\} \equiv \vec{r}\cdot\nabla_r + \nabla_r\cdot\vec{r}$, suggests a form of gauge coupling. That is, we can rewrite the Hamiltonian as

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{2m}\left[-i\nabla_r + mh(t)\vec{r}\,\right]^2 - \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)h^2(t)\vec{r}^{\,2} + V(\vec{r},t), \tag{10}$$

and make further simplification by employing a gauge transformation,

$$\phi(\vec{r},t) \equiv \exp\left[i\frac{m}{2}h(t)\vec{r}^{\,2}\right] g(\vec{r},t). \tag{11}$$

With all these changes of variables, Eqs.(3), (8), (11), we generate a "dual" quantum system with an induced quadratic potential,

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi(\vec{r},t) = \left[-\frac{{\nabla_r}^2}{2m} - \frac{m}{2}\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right)\vec{r}^{\,2} + V(\vec{r},t)\right] \phi(\vec{r},t). \tag{12}$$

Comparing the original Schrödinger equation, Eq.(2), to its dual representation, Eq.(12), we find that the time-dependent kinetic term is replaced by the standard form and the effect of the Robertson-Walker background metric manifests itself as a quadratic potential. Note that we need nontrivial time-dependence of the scale factor, $\ddot{a}(t) \neq 0$, to generate an induced potential. Furthermore, while it seems unclear how to search for experimental consequences of the systems, Eq.(2), other than its cosmology context; the dual systems, Eq.(12), could find their experimental realization easily in a laboratory environment.

Given a scale factor $a(t)$, our derivation establishes an equivalent relation between quantum systems in a time-dependent background metric, Eq.(2), and quantum systems with an induced quadratic potential, Eq.(12). To be precise, we have the following correspondence between wave functions,

$$\psi(\vec{x}, t) = a^{3/2}(t) \exp\left[-i\ \frac{m}{2}\ \dot{a}(t)\ a(t)\ \vec{x}^{\ 2}\right] \phi(a(t)\vec{x}, t), \tag{13}$$

$$\phi(\vec{r}, t) = a^{-3/2}(t) \exp\left[i\ \frac{m}{2} h(t)\ \vec{r}^{\ 2}\right] \psi\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{a(t)}, t\right). \tag{14}$$

Notice that, apart from the scale factor, which ensures proper normalization, Eq.(8), the correspondence between wave functions in two classes of systems is given by a time-dependent gauge transformation. Given the transformation rules between wave functions, we can deduce that the evolution operators for each class of systems,

$$\psi(\vec{x}_f, t_f) = \int d^3\vec{x}_i\ U(\vec{x}_f, t_f; \vec{x}_i, t_i)\ \psi(\vec{x}_i, t_i), \tag{15}$$

$$\phi(\vec{r}_f, t_f) = \int d^3\vec{r}_i\ V(\vec{r}_f, t_f; \vec{r}_i, t_i)\ \phi(\vec{r}_i, t_i), \tag{16}$$

are related by

$$U(\vec{x}_f, t_f; \vec{x}_i, t_i) = (a_f\ a_i)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left[-i\frac{m}{2}\left(\dot{a}_f\ a_f\ \vec{x}_f^{\ 2} - \dot{a}_i\ a_i\ \vec{x}_i^{\ 2}\right)\right]\ V(a_f\vec{x}_f, t_f;\ a_i\vec{x}_i, t_i), \tag{17}$$

$$V(\vec{r}_f, t_f; \vec{r}_i, t_i) = (a_f\ a_i)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left[\ i\frac{m}{2}\left(h_f\ \vec{r}_f^{\ 2} - h_i\ \vec{r}_i^{\ 2}\right)\right]\ U\left(\frac{\vec{r}_f}{a_f}, t_f; \frac{\vec{r}_i}{a_i}, t_i\right). \tag{18}$$

Here we adopt a shorthand notation to suppress the time dependence, $a_i \equiv a(t_i)$, and $\dot{a}_i \equiv da/dt(t_i)$, etc.. In the following, we shall refer to these transformations, Eqs.(13), (14), (17), (18), as dual transformations. Finally, the expectation values of physical observables in either class of systems follow a similar rule which leaves their values invariant under dual transformations.

$$\int d^3x\ \psi^*(\vec{x},t)\,\mathcal{O}\,\psi(\vec{x},t) = \int d^3r\ \phi^*(\vec{r},t)\,\mathcal{O}\,\phi(\vec{r},t). \tag{19}$$

III. EVOLUTION OPERATOR OF A SIMPLE HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

The exact dual transformations, Eqs.(13), (14), (17), (18), can be used to map known solutions in one class to another. As a special case, the quantum dynamics of a free particle ($V(\vec{x},t)=0$) moving in the RW background metric is equivalent to a quantum mechanical simple harmonic oscillator (SHO), Eq.(12), with a time-dependent frequency,

$$\omega^2(t) \equiv -\frac{\ddot{a}(t)}{a(t)}. \tag{20}$$

To show the use of dual transformation, Eq.(18), we begin with the solution of a free particle in the RW background metric. For instance, Eq.(2) can be solved by redefining a new time variable,

$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{1}{a^2(t)} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \tau(t)-\tau(t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{t}\frac{ds}{a^2(s)}. \tag{21}$$

In this new time variable, the Schrödinger equation, Eq.(2), becomes

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\psi(\vec{x},t(\tau)) = -\frac{{\nabla_x}^2}{2m}\psi(\vec{x},t(\tau)), \tag{22}$$

and the plane-wave solution is given by

$$\psi(\vec{x},t;\vec{k}) = \exp\left\{i\left[\vec{k}\vec{x}-\ \omega(\vec{k})\tau(t)\right]\right\}, \qquad \omega(\vec{k}) \equiv \frac{\vec{k}^2}{2m}. \tag{23}$$

From this plane wave solution, one can extract the evolution operator, Eq.(15), through the Fourier transform. In the coordinate representation, it is given by

$$U(\vec{x}_f,t_f;\vec{x}_i,t_i) = \left\{\frac{m}{2\pi i[\tau(t_f)-\tau(t_i)]}\right\}^{3/2} \exp\left\{\frac{i\ m\ (\vec{x}_f-\vec{x}_i)^2}{2\ [\tau(t_f)-\tau(t_i)]}\right\}. \tag{24}$$

Given a scale factor $a(t)$ and the evolution operator of a free system, Eq.(24), we can derive the exact evolution operator of the corresponding system in Class B,

$$V(\vec{r}_f, t_f; \vec{r}_i, t_i) = \left[\frac{mC(t_f,t_i)}{2\pi i}\right]^{3/2} \exp\left\{\frac{im}{2}\left[A(t_f,t_i)\vec{r}_f^{\,2} + B(t_f,t_i)\vec{r}_i^{\,2} - 2C(t_f,t_i)\vec{r}_f\cdot\vec{r}_i\right]\right\}, \tag{25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(t_f,t_i) &\equiv h(t_f) + \frac{1}{a^2(t_f)[\tau(t_f)-\tau(t_i)]}, \quad B(t_f,t_i) \equiv -h(t_i) + \frac{1}{a^2(t_i)[\tau(t_f)-\tau(t_i)]}, \\ C(t_f,t_i) &\equiv \frac{1}{a(t_f)a(t_i)[\tau(t_f)-\tau(t_i)]}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

We emphasize that these two evolution operators, Eqs.(24), (25) and (26), give complete solutions to the quantum dynamics of both systems; free particle in the RW background metric and simple harmonic oscillator with time-dependent frequency, Eq.(20). In the following, we shall examine several special examples of this general formula. For simplicity, we shall focus on time-independent ($\frac{d}{dt}\omega^2(t) = 0$) cases:

Case (1) : $\omega_1^2(t) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_1(t) = \alpha t + \beta, \quad h_1(t) = \alpha/(\alpha t + \beta).$

In this case, the dual transformation maps a free particle moving in a linearly expanding space to a free particle in flat space. The τ function, Eq.(21), is given by

$$\tau_1(t_f) - \tau_1(t_i) \equiv \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \frac{ds}{a_1^2(s)} = \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha t_i + \beta)} - \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha t_f + \beta)} = \frac{T}{a(t_f)a(t_i)}, \tag{27}$$

where $T \equiv t_f - t_i$ is the time difference. Substituting these factors into the general solutions for evolution operators, Eqs.(25), (26), we get

$$A_1(t_f,t_i) = B_1(t_f,t_i) = C_1(t_f,t_i) = \frac{1}{T}, \tag{28}$$

and the evolution operator is

$$V_1(\vec{r}_f, t_f; \vec{r}_i, t_i) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i T}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[\frac{i\; m\; (\vec{r}_f - \vec{r}_i)^2}{2T}\right], \tag{29}$$

a free particle propagator as one would expect from Eq.(12).

Case (2) : $\omega_2^2(t) = \omega^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_2(t) = A\cos(\omega t - \delta), \quad h_2(t) = -\omega\tan(\omega t - \delta).$

In this case, the dual transformation maps a free particle moving in a space with oscillating RW metric to a flat space simple harmonic oscillator. The τ function, Eq.(21), is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_2(t_f)-\tau_2(t_i) \equiv \int_{t_i}^{t_f}\frac{ds}{a_2^2(s)} &= \frac{1}{\omega A^2}[\ \tan(\omega t_f-\delta)-\tan(\omega t_i-\delta)\ ]\\ &= \frac{1}{\omega^2 A^2}[h_2(t_i)-h_2(t_f)]. \end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

Plugging these formulae into Eq.(26), and making some algebraic simplification, we get

$$A_2(t_f,t_i)=B_2(t_f,t_i)=\frac{\omega}{\tan\omega T},\qquad C_2(t_f,t_i)=\frac{\omega}{\sin\omega T}.\tag{31}$$

Thus, the duality solution, Eq.(25), reduces to the evolution operator of a simple harmonic oscillator,

$$V_2(\vec{r}_f,t_f;\vec{r}_i,t_i)=\left[\frac{m\omega}{2\pi i\sin\omega T}\right]^{3/2}\exp\left\{\left(\frac{im\omega}{2\sin\omega T}\right)\left[(\cos\omega T)(\vec{r}_f^{\ 2}+\vec{r}_i^{\ 2})-2\vec{r}_f\cdot\vec{r}_i\right]\right\}.\tag{32}$$

We emphasize that this derivation, through the use of an equivalent relation, is purely algebraic and constitutes the simplest derivation of a SHO evolution operator to the best of our knowledge.

Case (3) : $\omega_3^2(t)=-\omega^2 \quad\Rightarrow\quad a_3(t)=A\cosh(\omega t-\delta),\quad h_3(t)=\omega\tanh(\omega t-\delta).$

In this case, the dual transformation maps a free particle moving in an inflating (or deflating) space to a simple harmonic oscillator with an imaginary frequency. The τ function and the evolution operator can be obtained through analytic continuations of the corresponding results in case (2), and we shall not give details here.

We add a side comment considering the nature of this equivalent relation. It should be clear from the above discussion that our duality mapping, in a strict sense, is a homomorphism from Class A models to the Class B ones. Many different Class A models get transformed into the same model in Class B, and the inverse transformation from Class B to Class A is not well-defined unless we specify the scale factor $a(t)$. The scale factors $a(t)$ associated with "equivalent" systems in Class A which have identical Class B representation, are specified, through the defining relation, Eq.(20), by a set of "moduli parameters". In the "free-particle vs. SHO" correspondence, these moduli parameters are linear expansion rate α and initial scale β in the first case, amplitude A and phase shift δ in the second and third cases. Our explicit algebraic calculations of evolution operators using Eqs.(25), (26), verify that the dependence of these moduli parameters indeed cancels out for the equivalent Class A models. This cancellation has its physical origin in the Class A dynamics, as both linear expansion rate α and amplitude A amount to a choice of length unit, and the change of either initial scale β or phase shift δ imply a shift in time. Therefore, equivalent Class A systems, in the sense of dual transformation, are also physically indistinguishable and the dual transformation gives a one-to-one correspondence between physical systems in both Classes.

IV. MOVING SOLITON SOLUTION FROM DUAL TRANSFORMATION

The dual transformations not only provide us with the connections between dynamics of two classes of models, they are also useful in generating nontrivial solutions. For instance, in the special case of free particle with the scale factor $a(t) = A\cos(\omega t - \delta)$, we can map the SHO ground state wave function, ϕ_0, from Class B to a localized state in Class A,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_0(\vec{x}, t) &= a^{3/2}(t) \exp\left[-i\frac{m}{2}\dot{a}(t)a(t)\vec{x}^{\,2}\right]\ \phi_0(a(t)\vec{x}, t) \\ &= \left(\frac{m\omega a^2}{\pi}\right)^{3/4} \exp\left[-i\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)t - \left(\frac{m}{2}\omega a^2 + i\frac{m}{2}\dot{a}a\right)\vec{x}^{\,2}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Since the energy eigenstate in Class B is stationary and in-dissipative, we expect that the corresponding state in Class A shares the same properties. Unlike the wave-packet solution to the non-relativistic free Schrödinger equation, our localized solution does not disperse in time. Indeed, the solitonic solution we found has a finite width in the physical length unit,

$$\sqrt{\langle [a(t)\vec{x}]^2 \rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\omega}}. \tag{34}$$

Finally, the maximum value of the probability density $|\psi|^2$ stays at the origin $\vec{x} = 0$, and we conclude that the localized state is a static soliton.

Similarly, there is a corresponding stationary solitonic state in Class A for each excited state in Class B. The properties of these excited solitons modify accordingly. For example, when considering a nth SHO eigenstate in Class B, the width of the corresponding soliton increases by a factor $\sqrt{2n+1}$. It is worth noting that the existence of these soliton solutions is not obvious by looking directly at the Schrödinger equation in Class A. With the aid of dual transformation, we discover these nontrivial solutions from the dual Schrödinger equation, Eq.(12).

Since we start with a homogeneous and isotropic background, the origin of the soliton can be located at any point $\vec{x}$. Furthermore, the dynamics of non-relativistic free particle systems are invariant under Galilean transformations. Consequently, we can generate a moving soliton by "boosting" the coordinate system with a "velocity" $-\vec{v}$,

$$\vec{x'} \equiv \vec{x} + \tau(t)\vec{v}. \tag{35}$$

The wave function of a moving soliton in the prime coordinate,

$$\psi_v(\vec{x'}, t) \equiv \exp\left[im\vec{v}\vec{x'} - i\left(\frac{m\vec{v}^{\,2}}{2}\right)\tau(t)\right]\ \psi_0(\vec{x'} - \tau(t)\vec{v}, t), \tag{36}$$

satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_v(\vec{x'}, t) = -\frac{\nabla^2_{x'}}{2a^2(t)m}\psi_v(\vec{x'}, t). \tag{37}$$

As a consistency check for the dual transformations, Eq.(13), one can obtain the same result by first performing a "boost" in the Class B systems,

$$\vec{r'} \equiv \vec{r} + a(t)\tau(t)\vec{v}. \tag{38}$$

and following a similar procedure as described in Sec.II for deriving the boosted SHO wave function,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_v(\vec{r'}, t) &\equiv \exp\left[i\frac{\eta(t)}{2}m\vec{v}\cdot(2\vec{r'} - a(t)\tau(t)\vec{v})\right]\phi(\vec{r'} - a(t)\tau(t)\vec{v}, t) \\ &= \exp\left[i\frac{\eta(t)}{2}m\vec{v}\cdot(\vec{r'} + \vec{r})\right]\phi(\vec{r}, t), \qquad (39) \\ \eta(t) &\equiv \frac{d}{dt}(a\tau) = \dot{a}\tau + a\dot{\tau}, \qquad (40)\end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the corresponding Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_v(\vec{r'},t) = \left[-\frac{\nabla^2_{r'}}{2m} - \frac{m}{2}\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right)\vec{r'}^2\right]\ \phi_v(\vec{r'},t). \tag{41}$$

We can then apply the dual transformation, Eq.(13), to map the boosted SHO wave function ϕ_v into the "freely" moving soliton solution,

$$\psi_v(\vec{x'},t) = a^{3/2}(t)\exp\left[-i\frac{m}{2}\dot{a}(t)a(t)\vec{x'}^2\right]\ \phi_v(a(t)\vec{x'},t). \tag{42}$$

Working out the algebra, one can be convinced that these two procedures, Eqs.(36),(42), give the same answer, and we get

$$\psi_v(\vec{x'},t) = \left(\frac{m\omega a^2}{\pi}\right)^{3/4}\ \exp\left[-i\Phi_v(\vec{x'},t) - \left(\frac{m}{2}\omega a^2\right)(\vec{x'}-\tau\vec{v})^2\right], \tag{43}$$

where the phase factor $\Phi_v(\vec{x'},t)$ is given by,

$$\Phi_v(\vec{x'},t) \equiv \left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)t + \left(\frac{m\vec{v}^{\ 2}}{2}\right)\tau(t) - m\vec{v}\cdot\vec{x'} + \frac{m}{2}\dot{a}a(\vec{x'}-\tau\vec{v})^2. \tag{44}$$

In addition, by changing the coordinate $\vec{x'}$ into $\vec{x}$ in Eqs.(43), (44), i.e., taking an active view for the soliton motion, and superimposing solitonic solutions with different velocities, we can generate multi-soliton solutions of this system. While the linearity property of free Schrödinger equation ensures that scattering among these solitons is trivial, one might consider adding a potential $V(\vec{x},t)$ and then study how these solitons interact.

V. WHAT DO WE LEARN FROM THIS LESSON

Due to relatively simple structures, the models constructed above can also be solved exactly by other means without referring to the dual transformation. At first sight, one might think that these cases are of only pedagogical interest. However, our derivation enjoys several advantage in comparison with other standard approaches:

(1) The use of dual transformation in solving the evolution operators does not rely on explicit solutions of eigenstate wave functions or special identities among Hermite polynomials, as required in the operator method [5]. Throughout our derivation, only elementary functions are involved.

(2) The boundary conditions of the classical solution, $\vec{q}(t_f) = \vec{x}_f,\ \vec{q}(t_i) = \vec{x}_i$, are built in directly from the free particle evolution operator, Eq.(24). The evaluations of functional determinant and the classical action, which are necessary in the path integral approach [6], [7], boil down to a single ordinary differential equation, Eq.(20), in our approach.

(3) In addition, our treatment allows for more general situations, such as SHO with a time-dependent frequency, and the study of time-dependent phase transition. The general solution is summarized in our master formulae, Eqs.(25), (26), and we save the labor without attacking the problems separately.

(4) Finally, the dual transformation can be used in both directions. Not only this dual relation provides a more efficient way to study time-dependent quantum dynamics, but also we can apply this approach to study evolution of quantum states in an evolving universe and discover some interesting features. For instance, the existence of soliton solutions for a free particle, as shown in the previous section, is not obvious without using the dual Schrödinger equation.

Moreover, in the special case of interest, namely, "free particle in the RW metric" vs. a "simple harmonic oscillator" dual relation provides several interesting features which is in common with more sophisticated version, e.g., ADS/CFT duality [1]:

(a) This is the simplest example where the effects of gravitation (or geometry) in one class of quantum systems are transformed into a "real" interaction in another class of theories through duality.

(b) We show how the space-time symmetry, namely, the Galilean invariance, is realized and transformed according to the duality relation. That is, one can check that both Eqs.(36), (39) form linear realizations of this symmetry acting on the underlying Hilbert-Fock space.

(c) Instead of giving connections among coupling parameters, as typical strong-weak dualities do, our duality relation maps a scale factor $a(t)$ into a SHO frequency $\omega(t)$. The fact that free particles moving in a time-dependent background metric has its dual realization as a purely bound state problem seems to carry the flavor of a strong-weak duality. Whether this duality has any implication to the real systems, e.g., QCD, might deserve a close examination.

(d) One might take the view that the quantum dynamics of a free particle in the RW metric is, in some sense, a mother theory of various quantum mechanical models. In particular, the quantum mechanical simple harmonic oscillator can be derived from this mother theory upon specifying a suitable scale factor $a(t)$. We shall reiterate this point further in the appendix and devise dual relations for deriving a generating functional from the evolution operators.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, we give an explicit construction of dual transformations between quantum mechanical systems in the Robertson-Walker background metric and quantum dynamics with an induced quadratic background potential. The use of such a dual relation is demonstrated by an algebraic derivation of the evolution operator for a simple harmonic oscillator, and the presence of moving solitonic solution in an oscillating universe. Finally, we compare our study with other standard approaches in quantum mechanics and comment on possible implications of this dual relation.

We thank Je-An Gu and Ho-Chi Tsai for many interesting conversations and discussion which initiated the present work. We also acknowledge Dr. Pei-Ming Ho, Dr. Yeong-Chuan Kao, and Dr. Miao Li for giving useful comments and suggestion. C.T. Chan is supported by the NSC grant NSC 89-2811-M002-0072. C.K. Chua is supported by the NSC grant NSC 89-2811-M002-0039 and NSC 89-2811-M002-0086.

APPENDIX A: DERIVING THE GENERATING FUNCTIONAL OF SIMPLE HARMONIC OSCILLATOR FROM DUAL TRANSFORMATIONS

In this appendix, we shall combine tricks in the main text and use them to derive the generating functional of a simple harmonic oscillator. To simplify notation, our discussion will be restricted to one-dimensional case.

The generating functional of a quantum mechanical system is defined as

$$W[f(t);x_f,t_f;x_i,t_i] \equiv \int_{q(t_i)=x_i}^{q(t_f)=x_f} \mathcal{D}q(t) \exp\left\{ i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \; [\; \mathcal{L}[q(t),\dot{q}(t)] - f(t)q(t) \;] \right\}. \tag{A1}$$

It follows from this definition that all correlation functions can be generated from the functional derivatives of the generating functional, assuming $t_i < t_1, t_2, ..., t_n < t_f$,

$$(i)^n \frac{\delta^n W[f(t);x_f,t_f;x_i,t_i]}{\delta f(t_1)\;\delta f(t_2)\;...\;\delta f(t_n)} \Big|_{f(t)=0} = \langle x_f,t_f|\mathcal{T}[\hat{q}(t_1)\;\hat{q}(t_2)\;...\;\hat{q}(t_n)]|x_i,t_i\rangle, \tag{A2}$$

and we can interpret the generating functional as an evolution operator of a perturbed system with the modified Hamiltonian given by $H'(t) \equiv H + f(t)q$.

In the following, we shall present two routes for deriving the generating functional of a simple harmonic oscillator. The first derivation is based on a gauge transformation between generating functional and evolution operator of a simple harmonic oscillator, and the second derivation examines the corresponding connection for a free particle, and then use dual transformation to derive the SHO generating functional.

1. Gauge Transformation between Generating Functional and Evolution Operator for a Simple Harmonic Oscillator

To derive the generating functional of a simple harmonic oscillator from the exact solution of evolution operator, Eq.(32), one is tempted to make a coordinate shift, $q \equiv r - s(t)$, and observes that the simple harmonic potential naturally generates a coupling to the external source $f_0(t) \equiv m\omega^2 s(t)$ and a background energy $\varepsilon_0(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 s^2(t)$,

$$V(r) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 r^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2[q^2 + 2s(t)q + s^2(t)] = V(q) + f_0(t)q + \varepsilon_0(t). \tag{A3}$$

Motivated by this observation, we can generalize Eqs.(35), (36), and define a gauge transformed wave function,

$$\varphi_s(q,t) \equiv \exp\left[-im\dot{s}(t)q + i\int_{t_0}^{t} \varepsilon(\tau)d\tau\right]\phi(q+s(t),t), \qquad \varepsilon(t) \equiv \frac{m}{2}[\omega^2 s^2(t) - \dot{s}^2(t)], \quad \text{(A4)}$$

which satisfies the Schrödinger equation of a driven oscillator,

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_s(q,t) = \left[-\frac{1}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 q^2 + f(t)q\right]\varphi_s(q,t), \quad \text{(A5)}$$

where the external source function (or driving force) is given by

$$f(t) \equiv m\omega^2 s(t) + m\ddot{s}(t). \quad \text{(A6)}$$

Notice that the time derivatives of the classical path $s(t)$, appearing in the background energy $\varepsilon(t)$ and the external source $f(t)$, are induced by the gauge transformation, Eq.(A4).

Since the generating functional is defined as a function of the external source $f(t)$, we need to invert the relation, Eq.(A6), and solve the classical path $s(t)$ in terms of $f(t)$. Thus, with a given driving force $f(t)$, the general solution to the inhomogeneous ordinary differential equation, Eq.(A6), is,

$$s(t) = s_\omega(t) + A\cos(\omega t - \delta), \quad \text{(A7)}$$

where the particular solution, $s_\omega(t)$, is given by a convolution of the external source with the one-dimensional Green's function $G_\omega(t)$,

$$s_\omega(t) \equiv \frac{1}{m}\int d\tau f(\tau)\ G_\omega(t-\tau), \quad \text{(A8)}$$

$$G_\omega(t) \equiv \int \frac{d\alpha}{2\pi}\frac{e^{i\alpha t}}{\omega^2 - \alpha^2 - i\epsilon} = \frac{i}{2\omega}\left[\theta(t)e^{-i\omega t} + \theta(-t)e^{i\omega t}\right]. \quad \text{(A9)}$$

One can then use this relation to rewrite the gauge transformed wave function, Eq.(A4), in terms of the external source $f(t)$ and the original SHO wave function $\phi(r,t)$.

The evolution operator in the presence of an external source,

$$\varphi_s(q_f,t_f) = \int dq_i V_s(q_f,t_f;q_i,t_i)\varphi_s(q_i,t_i), \quad \text{(A10)}$$

can now be related to the SHO evolution operator, via Eqs.(14),(A4), as

$$V_s(q_f,t_f;q_i,t_i) = \exp\left[-im\dot{s}_f q_f + im\dot{s}_i q_i + i\int_{t_i}^{t_f}\varepsilon(\tau)d\tau\right] V(q_f+s_f,t_f;q_i+s_i,t_i). \quad \text{(A11)}$$

Given the exact SHO evolution operator, $V(r_f,t_f;r_i,t_i)$, Eq.(32), and the general solution for a driven harmonic oscillator, Eqs.(A7)$\sim$(A9), we obtain the explicit form of generating functional via Eq.(A11),

$$\begin{aligned} V_s(q_f,t_f;q_i,t_i) &= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi i\sin\omega T}}\exp\left\{\left(\frac{im\omega}{2\sin\omega T}\right)\left[(\cos\omega T)[(q_f+s_f)^2+(q_i+s_i)^2]\right.\right.\\ &\qquad \left.\left. -2(q_f+s_f)(q_i+s_i)\right] - im\dot{s}_f q_f + im\dot{s}_i q_i + i\int_{t_i}^{t_f}\varepsilon(\tau)d\tau\right\}\\ &= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi i\sin\omega T}}\exp\left\{\left(\frac{im\omega}{2\sin\omega T}\right)\left[(\cos\omega T)(q_f^2+q_i^2) - 2q_f q_i\right.\right.\\ &\qquad \left.\left. -2P_\omega(t_f,t_i)q_f - 2Q_\omega(t_f,t_i)q_i + R_\omega(t_f,t_i)\right]\right\} \qquad \text{(A12)} \end{aligned}$$

where $T \equiv t_f - t_i$ and various coefficient functions are

$$\begin{aligned} P_\omega(t_f,t_i) &\equiv \left(\frac{\sin\omega T}{\omega}\right)\dot{s}_f - (\cos\omega T)s_f + s_i = \frac{1}{m\omega}\int_{t_i}^{t_f} f(\tau)\sin\omega(\tau-t_i)d\tau, &\text{(A13)}\\ Q_\omega(t_f,t_i) &\equiv -\left(\frac{\sin\omega T}{\omega}\right)\dot{s}_i - (\cos\omega T)s_i + s_f = \frac{1}{m\omega}\int_{t_i}^{t_f} f(\tau)\sin\omega(t_f-\tau)d\tau, &\text{(A14)}\\ R_\omega(t_f,t_i) &\equiv (\cos\omega T)(s_f^2+s_i^2) - 2s_f s_i + \left(\frac{2\sin\omega T}{m\omega}\right)\int_{t_i}^{t_f}\varepsilon(\tau)d\tau\\ &= -\frac{2}{m^2\omega^2}\int_{t_i}^{t_f} f(\tau)\sin\omega(t_f-\tau)\left[\int_{t_i}^{\tau} f(\sigma)\sin\omega(\sigma-t_i)d\sigma\right]d\tau. &\text{(A15)} \end{aligned}$$

This is in agreement with the standard textbook result [6], [7].

2. SHO Generating Functional from Free Particle Evolution Operator

While the previous derivation, making use of a gauge transformation between theories with and without coupling to an external source, Eq.(A11), is straightforward and has a direct generalization in field theory, it is possible to avoid complicated algebra by taking a different route. Namely, we can solve the generating functional of a free particle in the RW background metric first, then apply the same old dual transform, i.e., Eq.(18), to derive the generating functional of a simple harmonic oscillator.

With this strategy in mind, we first derive the generating functional of a free particle in a static space, i.e., $a(t) = 1$. Following a similar idea in deriving Eq.(A4), one can show that the gauge transformed wave function, as induced by the coordinate shift, $y \equiv x - z(\tau)$,

$$\chi_z(y,\tau) \equiv \exp\left[-im\dot{z}(\tau)y - i\frac{m}{2}\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \dot{z}^2(\eta)d\eta\right]\psi(y+z(\tau),t(\tau)), \tag{A16}$$

satisfies the Schrödinger equation with an external source $j(\tau) \equiv m\ddot{z}(\tau)$,

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\chi_z(y,\tau) = \left[-\frac{1}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + j(\tau)y\right]\chi_z(y,\tau). \tag{A17}$$

The evolution operator in the presence of an external source, or equivalently, the generating functional of a free particle,

$$\chi_z(y_f,\tau_f) = \int dy_i\ U_z(y_f,\tau_f;y_i,\tau_i)\chi_z(y_i,\tau_i), \tag{A18}$$

can be related to the source-free evolution operator, Eq.(15), via Eq.(A16),

$$U_0(y_f,\tau_f;y_i,\tau_i) \equiv U(y_f,t(\tau_f);y_i,t(\tau_i)), \tag{A19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&U_z(y_f,\tau_f;y_i,\tau_i)\\
&= \exp\left[-im\left(\dot{z}_f y_f - \dot{z}_i y_i + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f}\dot{z}^2(\tau)d\tau\right)\right]U_0(y_f+z_f,\tau_f;y_i+z_i,\tau_i)\\
&= \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i\mathcal{T}}}\exp\left\{\frac{im}{2\mathcal{T}}(y_f+z_f-y_i-z_i)^2 - im\dot{z}_f y_f + im\dot{z}_i y_i - i\frac{m}{2}\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f}\dot{z}^2(\tau)d\tau\right\}\\
&= \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i\mathcal{T}}}\exp\left\{\frac{im}{2\mathcal{T}}\left[(y_f-y_i)^2 - 2P_0(\tau_f,\tau_i)y_f - 2Q_0(\tau_f,\tau_i)y_i + R_0(\tau_f,\tau_i)\right]\right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{A20}$$

where $\mathcal{T} \equiv \tau_f - \tau_i$ and various coefficients of y_f, y_i are given by

$$P_0(\tau_f,\tau_i) \equiv \ \ \mathcal{T}\dot{z}_f - (z_f - z_i) = \frac{1}{m}\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} j(\xi)(\xi-\tau_i)\ d\xi, \tag{A21}$$

$$Q_0(\tau_f,\tau_i) \equiv -\mathcal{T}\dot{z}_i - (z_f - z_i) = \frac{1}{m}\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} j(\xi)(\tau_f-\xi)\ d\xi, \tag{A22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_0(\tau_f,\tau_i) &\equiv (z_f-z_i)^2 - \mathcal{T}\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f}\dot{z}^2(\xi)d\xi\\
&= -\frac{2}{m^2}\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} j(\xi)(\tau_f-\xi)\left[\int_{\tau_i}^{\xi} j(\eta)(\eta-\tau_i)\ d\eta\right]d\xi.
\end{aligned} \tag{A23}$$

To derive the generating functional of a free particle in the RW background metric, we change the time variable from τ to t, via Eq.(21). In so doing, the free particle Schödinger equation, Eq.(A17), becomes

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\chi_z(x,\tau(t)) = \left[-\frac{1}{2a^2(t)m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{j(\tau(t))}{a^2(t)}x\right]\chi_z(x,\tau(t)), \tag{A24}$$

and the evolution operator $U_z(y_f,\tau_f;y_i,\tau_i)$, or, the generating functional in the presence of an external source, $\frac{j(\tau(t))}{a^2(t)}$, modifies accordingly.

To arrange the formula in a canonical form, Eq.(2), and make connection with the previous result, we further redefine the external source,

$$f(t) \equiv \frac{j(\tau(t))}{a^3(t)}, \tag{A25}$$

and treat the external source coupling as a potential, $V(a(t)x,t) = f(t)a(t)x$, in the RW Schrödinger equation, Eq.(2). Finally, after substituting the generating functional of a free particle in the RW metric, Eqs.(A20)∼(A23) with $\tau \to \tau(t)$, into the dual relation, Eq.(18),

$$V_s(r_f,t_f;r_i,t_i) = (a_f a_i)^{-1/2}\exp\left[i\frac{m}{2}(h_f r_f^2 - h_i r_i^2)\right]U_z\left(\frac{r_f}{a_f},t_f;\frac{r_i}{a_i},t_i\right), \tag{A26}$$

we recover the same result for the generating functional of a simple harmonic oscillator, Eq.(A12)∼Eq.(A15).

REFERENCES

[1] J. Maldacena, “The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998), [hep-th/9711200].

[2] M. J. Duff, R. R. Khuri and J. X. Lu, Phys. Rept. **259**, 213 (1995) [hep-th/9412184].

[3] E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, “The Early Universe,” *Redwood City, USA: Addison-Wesley (1990).*

[4] S. Flügge, “Practical Quantum Mechanics I,” *Berlin; New York: Springer-Verlag, (1971).* Sec.13, p.19.

[5] J.J. Sakurai, “Modern Quantum Mechanics,” *Redwood City, USA: Addison-Wesley (1994).* Sec.2.5, p.112.

[6] R.P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs, “Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals,” *McGraw-Hill. (1965).* Sec.3.6, p.64.

[7] L.S. Schulman, “Techniques and Applications of Path Integration,” *John-Wiley & Sons. (1981).* Sec.6, p.38.